		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nan/	10/747,657	KIM ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ngoclan T. Mai	1742
All Participants:		Status of Application: Fina	<u>al</u>
(1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai</u> .		(3)	
(2) William E. Beaumont.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 May 2006		Time: <u>2:57PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 18-19			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agrees to cancel non-elected invention claims 18-19 with a two month extension of time by examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)